

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,421	11/28/2003	Kenji Kataoka	117910	7386
25944 - 75	90 09/15/2005		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BEF	•		HOANG, JO	OHNNY H
P.O. BOX 1992 ALEXANDRIA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
11227ti ti (21ti	., , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		3747	

DATE MAILED: 09/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			-ala
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/722,421	KATAOKA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Johnny H. Hoang	3747	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Λ 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the	s action is non-final. ince except for formal mat	•	is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2,3 and 5-10 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 November 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ drawing(s) be held in abeya tion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121((d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A rity documents have beer u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/8/04, 9/1/05</u> .	6) 🔲 Other:	·	

DETAILED ACTION

Inventorship

1. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Moriya et al (US 6,704,642 B2).

The reference of Moriya et al discloses the valve timing control apparatus and method of internal combustion engine including the following subject matters: a stop control apparatus (col. 12, line 33 through col. 13, line 2) for an internal combustion engine, comprising:

a valve mechanism (24) for operating at least one of an intake valve (19) and an exhaust valve (20) independently of an output shaft (14) of the internal combustion engine (11) [col. 9, line 7 through col. 10, line 43]; and

a valve controlling device (ECU 92) for controlling the operation of the valve mechanism (24) so that the internal combustion engine (11) is stopped in a predetermined state (col. 2, lines 6-36; col. 13, line 18 through col. 14, line 49).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moriya et al.

The reference of Moriya et al discloses the valve timing control apparatus and method of internal combustion engine including a vacuum sensor (36) for detecting the intake pressure of the engine (11) is provided in the intake passage (32). Fuel injection valves (37) for injecting fuel into the intake ports (17) are provided at a downstream end of the intake passage (32). Each injection valve (37) injects fuel into the corresponding intake port (17) to form a mixture of fuel and air when air is drawn from the intake passage (32) into the corresponding combustion chamber (16) during the intake stroke of the engine (11) (see Fig. 1, and col. 9, lines 56-64).

Further more, the reference of Moriya et al discloses the cylinder head (15) is also provided with ignition plugs (38) for igniting mixtures that are charged into the corresponding combustion chambers (16). When an air-fuel mixture burns in a combustion chamber (16) upon ignition, combustion energy causes the piston (12) to reciprocate so as to turn or rotate

Application/Control Number: 10/722,421

Art Unit: 3747

the crankshaft (14), thereby driving the engine (11). After the mixture burns in the combustion chamber (16), exhaust is discharged into the exhaust passage (33) with the piston (12) ascending during the exhaust stroke of the engine (11) (see Fig. 1, and col. 9, line 65 through col. 10, line 7).

However, the reference of Moriya et al does not disclose the claimed subject matter regarding "compression strokes of the cylinders".

It would have been an obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized the internal combustion engine being operating with the stroke in the combustion chamber would include the compression strokes.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 2, 3, 5-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Ogiso (US 6,526,745 B1), and Moyer (US 5,529,549).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Johnny H. Hoang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4843. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday (7:00Am-5: 30Pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Yuen can be reached on (571) 272-4856.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,421

Art Unit: 3747

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JHH September 8, 2005 Johnny H. Hoang Examiner Art Unit 3747

Tony M. Argenbright
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3747